

ODA3 INSTITUTE

EXECUTIVE BRIEF

GAISSF™ Government Sector Implementation Guidance — Executive Brief

Decisions for senior government leaders on permitting AI in public functions

GAISSF-SEC-041 | Draft for Publication — Public — External Review Open

Document Control

Document ID	GAISST-SEC-041 (Executive Brief)
Companion	GAISST TM Government Sector Implementation Guidance
Status	Draft for Publication (Public, External Review Open); legal, accessibility/rights and trademark gates open
Dates	Issued 30 June 2026; updated 05 October 2026
Contact	contact@oda3.org
Classification	Public (on release)

Executive Brief

GAISSTTM Government Sector Implementation Guidance

Methodology Note: The package was checked against the 59-control GAISST-NOR-001 baseline, reviewed for cross-artifact identifier parity, recursively checked for JSON key/value whitespace, parsed with a strict JSON parser, counted for CSV row parity, scanned for spreadsheet formula errors, and rendered for document layout review. External sources are classified by authority and must be reverified immediately before publication.

Purpose

This brief supports senior government leaders deciding whether and how to permit AI use in public functions. It accompanies the detailed informative implementation guide and does not replace GAISST-NOR-001, applicable law, agency policy, procurement rules, or qualified legal judgement.

The central governance question

The decisive risk is not the mere presence of AI. It is the translation of an AI output into public authority, public action, an official record, or a material effect on a person without lawful authority, effective review, traceability, security boundaries, and a workable correction route.

Five decisions for senior leadership

1. Define where AI may advise, recommend, perform constrained operational actions, or materially determine a public outcome.
2. Assign an accountable public official and legal authority for every material use case; procurement does not transfer public accountability.
3. Require reconstructable records, notice, reasons, contestability and correction where people may be materially affected.
4. Set technical boundaries for data, prompts, tools, agents, suppliers and physical actions, including manual fallback and safe shutdown.
5. Do not close the publication or deployment gate until evidence demonstrates operating effectiveness, not merely policy completion.

Decision-authority model

Level	AI role	Leadership expectation
1 — Informational	Drafting, search, summarisation, translation	No direct material effect; logging, data controls and review remain required.
2 — Recommendation	Ranking, scoring, flagging or prioritisation	An authorised official independently evaluates evidence and owns the decision.
3 — Constrained action	Pre-approved operational action within limits	Least privilege, deterministic boundaries, monitoring, intervention and reversal.
4 — Material/determinative	Affects rights, eligibility, liberty, status, enforcement, benefits or access	Jurisdiction-specific legal validation, heightened evidence, notice, appeal, independent assurance and executive risk acceptance.

What the package now contains

- 59 control-specific government interpretations aligned one-to-one with the authoritative GAISSE baseline.
- 31 government AI use cases, including public health, education, and housing/planning administration.
- 10 risk records, including a distinct regulatory or policy non-compliance risk.
- Control-specific implementation, evidence, assessment and failure-mode guidance.
- Use-case-specific worked examples rather than repeated generic failure scenarios.
- A 30-sheet operational workbook and machine-readable JSON and CSV control profiles.

Publication-gate status

Gate	Status	Required action
Machine-readable parity	Closed	JSON parses; CSV contains 59 rows; identifiers match.
Semantic control review	Closed	All 59 controls received control-specific guidance.
Workbook integrity	Closed for generated package	Formula-error scan passed; adopter blanks are explicitly labelled.
Jurisdiction-specific legal review	Open	Qualified counsel must validate applicable public-law and regulatory mappings.
Accessibility and affected-person rights review	Open	Independent specialist review is required before release.
Trademark status	Open	Legal confirmation is required; registered-symbol use has been removed from the public candidate.

Notably Absent

- No basis is asserted for treating all government AI as high risk.
- No basis is asserted for treating a nominal human click as effective oversight.
- No supplier certification transfers the public body's accountability.
- No model-accuracy measure alone establishes legality, fairness, security or fitness for public use.
- No universal financial exposure, implementation cost, ROI, maturity threshold or acceptance score is asserted.
- No unverified ODA3 test repository or public test-harness path is assumed.

Leadership conclusion

The package is a strong publication candidate, but it is not yet authorised for public release. The legal, accessibility/rights and trademark gates remain open. Closing those gates requires named specialist reviewers and documented dispositions; they cannot be closed by editorial revision alone.

This brief is informative and is not legal advice. GAISSE certification is not currently offered. | GAISSE Ecosystem developed by ODA3 Institute, licensed under GEL v1.0. | © 2026 ODA3 Pvt Ltd